

Newton's Power Series for Sine and Cosine

In 1711, Isaac Newton (1642-1727) published a derivation of the power series for the sine in his seminal *Of Analysis by means of Equations with an infinite number of terms* (Newton, 1745a, pp. 335-339, §37-§47). This is the first appearance of the power series for the sine in a European Manuscript (Dunham, 1991, p. 11).

We provide a line-by-line analysis of the relevant sections, reconstructing Newton's logic and filling in a few gaps in Newton's derivation, where appropriate. We follow Robert Pyke (Pyke, n.d.) and others in interpreting Fluents as time-varying quantities $y(t)$, Fluxions as their time-derivatives \dot{y} , and Moments as infinitesimal quantities ($\dot{z}dt$), or, in Newton's notation - ($o\dot{z}$)

Contents

§37 How Fluents are generated from their Moments	1
§38 Finding the arc length of a circle in terms of a coordinate along its diameter.	4
§39 Finding the Arc Sine as a power series	9
§40 An aside on the Dimensionality of Unity	11
§41 An aside on Solids and Centers of Gravity	12
§42 Inverting the power series for the Arc Sine by 'extracting the root'	13
§43-44 Inverting $z = \ln(1 + x)$	13
§45 Inverting $z = \sin^{-1}(x)$ to find the sine.	21
§46: Finding the power series expansion of the cosine	27
§47: Recognising the factorial pattern	29
Concluding Remarks	30
Appendix: The 'method of undetermined coefficients'	30
Bibliography	32

§37 How Fluents are generated from their Moments

In this section, Newton gives an example for his claim that knowing the Moments of a quantity (or 'fluent') at all times allows you to calculate that quantity at all times as well. In function notation, knowing \dot{f} at all times allows you to find $f(t)$ by integration.

37. Let ABD be any Curve, and AHKB a Rectangle, whose Side AH or BK is Unity : And imagine the Right Line DBK to move uniformly from AH, so as to describe the Areas ABD and AK ; and that BK (1) is the Moment with which AK (x), and BD (y) the Moment with which ABD is gradually encreased ;

AHKB is a two-dimensional x -axis whose side length is 1 (see Figure 1).

For our purposes it could be enlightening if we made the time-dependence of Newton's fluxional method explicit. Newton's 'uniform' motion can be satisfied by letting:

$$x = t \tag{1}$$

Then, $x = t \Rightarrow \dot{x} = 1$, and thus the Fluxion of x is 1 and the Moment BK is $1dt$ (or $1dx$). This approach is in line with our reading of Newton's *Treatise on the Quadrature of Curves* (Newton, 1745b), in which he argues that it is useful to take a quantity like x , set its first fluxion equal to 1 and all higher fluxions to 0. This implies $dx = dt$, so time-derivatives become x -derivatives, and we can therefore straightforwardly apply the 'inverse fluxional' method for geometrical integration problems:

... it is proper to consider some Quantity as flowing uniformly, and for its first fluxion to write Unity, for the subsequent ones, nothing.

— Isaac Newton, (Newton, 1745b, §20, p. 7)

Thus, the "1" or the height of the two-dimensional rectangular x -axis serves a dual role: First, it allows us to compare areas with areas and remain geometrically consistent. Second, it is a manifestation of setting $dx = dt$, allowing us to use the inverse fluxion method to investigate areas in the first place - turning integrals over time into integrals over position.




Figure 1: The blue area (x) is “increased continually by the Moment 1”. The red area is increased continually by the “Moment” $y(x)$.

Newton continues:

and that from the Moment BD continually given, you can, by Means of the preceding Rules, investigate the Area ABD described by it, or compare it with AK (x), which is described with the Moment 1.

It is puzzling to us that Newton calls BD and AK moments rather than fluxions, because we would recognise $y(x)$ and $\dot{x} = 1$ as derivatives of the blue and red (see Figure 1) areas respectively, rather than infinitesimal area segments.

In Newton’s discussion of the Moment in the *Quadrature Of Curves*, he clearly views them as infinitesimal line segments (proportional to an infinitesimal quantity o):

For let o be a very small Quantity, and let $o\dot{z}$, $o\dot{y}$, $o\dot{x}$ be the Moments, that is the momentaneous synchronal Increments of the Quantities z , y , x . And if the flowing Quantities are just now z ,




Figure 2: Summary of §38, showing the coordinate along the diameter x , the series expansion of the arc length z , and the tangent to the arc $\frac{dz}{dx}$

Interestingly, whereas §38 is presented as concrete example of §37, the dimensionalities are different. We can view §37 as about integrating the function $y(x)$ to find an area, whereas in §38 we integrate over infinitesimal line segments to find an arc length. These are rather different conceptually. In the former case, integration increases the dimensionality of the object being integrated, whereas in the latter it does not.

The double colon “ $::$ ” in this passage is just an equals sign. When Newton writes “ $BD (\sqrt{x - xx}) : DC (\frac{1}{2})$ ”, the brackets should not be taken to mean multiplication. Instead, they mean something akin to “in other words”. So, the statement, “ $A(B) : C(D)$ ” should be read as “ $A(\text{in other words, } B) : C(\text{in other words, } D)$ ”, or, equivalently $\frac{A}{C} = \frac{B}{D}$. So, the final ratio “ $1(BK) : \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x-x^2}}(DH)$ ” actually means “ $\frac{DH}{BK} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x-x^2}}$ ”.

Thus, in modern notation the terse derivation takes the following form:

$$\frac{dz}{dx} \equiv \frac{DH}{GH} = \frac{DT}{BT} = \frac{DC}{DB} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x-x^2}} = \frac{DH}{BK} \quad (2)$$

Where does this expression come from? We start by noticing that the red and green triangles are similar (see Figure 3) since the two triangles share the angle $\angle BDT$ (or $\angle GDH$) and both triangles contain a right angle ($\angle TBD$ and $\angle HGD$). So, by similarity:

$$\frac{DH}{GH} = \frac{DT}{BT} \equiv \frac{dz}{dx} \quad (3)$$




Figure 3: red and green triangles are similar

Next, we notice that the red and green triangles in Figure 4 are also similar, since both contain a right angle ($\angle TBD$ and $\angle DBC$) and $\angle CDB = \angle BTD$. The latter follows from the fact that in $\triangle DBT$, we can have $90^\circ - \angle BDT = \angle BTD$, and since $\angle CDT = 90^\circ$, we know that $\angle CDB = 90^\circ - \angle BDT$, which we established was equal to $\angle BTD$.

Therefore:

$$\frac{DT}{BT} = \frac{DC}{BD} \quad (4)$$

Combining Equation 3 and Equation 4 to eliminate $\frac{DT}{BT}$ gives:

$$\frac{DH}{GH} = \frac{DC}{BD} = \frac{dz}{dx} \quad (5)$$




Figure 4: red and green triangles are similar




Figure 5: The Pythagorean theorem is used to find the value of the line BD
 Next, by using the Pythagorean theorem on the blue triangle in Figure 5, and the fact that

$$DC = \frac{1}{2} \tag{6}$$

we find that:

$$BD = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right)^2} = \sqrt{x - x^2} \quad (7)$$

Using Equation 6 and Equation 7 to substitute for DC and BD in Equation 5 gives:

$$\frac{dz}{dx} \equiv \frac{DH}{GH} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x - x^2}} \quad (8)$$

The arc length $z(x)$ can now be found by the inverse fluxional method (integration).

$$z(x) = \int \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x - x^2}} \right) dx \quad (9)$$

In Newton's words, $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x-x^2}}$ is the 'Moment' of the arc AD (or $z(x)$). Again, we are puzzled that he calls this the "Moment" rather than the "Fluxion" considering his own definitions for these terms. If this is really a Moment, where is the infinitesimal o ?

We can perform the integral by first writing out the series expansion for $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x-x^2}}$ using the binomial theorem, and then integrating term-by-term.

The binomial theorem is easier to apply if we first rewrite the Moment as:

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x - x^2}} = \frac{1}{2}(x - x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2}(1 - x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (10)$$

Next we expand $(1 - x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ using Newton's recursive version of the Binomial theorem (Newton, 1676), namely:

$$(P + PQ)^{\frac{m}{n}} = \underbrace{P^{\frac{m}{n}}}_A + \underbrace{\frac{m}{n}AQ}_B + \underbrace{\frac{m-n}{2n}BQ}_C + \underbrace{\frac{m-2n}{3n}CQ}_D + \dots \quad (11)$$

Where $A = P^{\frac{m}{n}}$, $P = 1$ and $Q = -x$, $m = -1$ and $n = 2$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 1 \\ B &= \frac{1}{2}x \\ C &= -\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)x = -\frac{3}{8}x^2 \\ D &= \frac{-1 - 2(2)}{3 \times 2}\left(\frac{3}{8}x^2\right)x = \frac{5}{16}x^3 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

So:

$$(1 - x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{3}{8}x^2 + \frac{5}{16}x^3 + \dots \quad (13)$$

Therefore:

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x - x^2}} = \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2}(1 - x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2} + \frac{1}{4}x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{3}{16}x^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{5}{32}x^{\frac{5}{2}} + \dots \quad (14)$$

Now that we have obtained an expression for the moment of arc $\frac{dz}{dx}$ as a function of x (see Figure 6), we can integrate it term by term to get the arc length

$$\frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{1}{2}x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{4}x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{3}{16}x^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{5}{32}x^{\frac{5}{2}} + \dots$$

$$z(x) = \int z(x)dx = x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{6}x^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{3}{40}x^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{5}{112}x^{\frac{7}{2}} \dots$$
(15)




Figure 6: Finding $\frac{dz}{dx}$

§39 Finding the Arc Sine as a power series

39. After the same Manner by supposing CB to be x , the Radius CA to be 1, you will find the Arch LD to be $x + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{3}{40}x^5 + \frac{5}{112}x^7$, &c.

In §38, Newton showed that his method can find the arc length of a circle in terms of a coordinate x along its diameter. But he has not yet found the *arcsine*. For that, he needs to consider a unit circle, and change his independent variable x to measure a distance from the centre of the circle (Compare Figure 7 with Figure 6 to see the difference in geometry between §39 and §38):




Figure 7: Summary of §39, (compare with Figure 2)

In contrast with §38, we now use a unit circle rather than a circle with diameter 1, so:

$$DC = 1 \tag{16}$$

The similar triangles argument that we used in §38 is still valid to establish the similarity between the infinitesimal triangle and DTB , as well as the similarity between DTB and DBC

But, instead of defining $AB = x$ we now have $BC = x$. By Pythagoras' theorem we obtain

$$BD = \sqrt{1 - x^2}. \tag{17}$$

This time we obtain a slightly different expression for the arc length (viz Equation 8):

$$\frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \tag{18}$$

which integrates to give:

$$z(x) = \int \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \right) dx. \tag{19}$$




Figure 8: The similar triangles argument is given here without referring to any letters.

As before, applying the binomial theorem to the integrand allows us to re-write this expression as

$$z(x) = \int \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{3}{8}x^4 + \frac{5}{16}x^6 + \frac{35}{128}x^8 + \dots \right) dx \quad (20)$$

and integrating term by term gives

$$z(x) = x + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{3}{40}x^5 + \frac{5}{112}x^7 + \frac{35}{1152}x^9 + \dots \quad (21)$$

Thus, we have obtained the power series expansion of the arcsine, through basic geometry, the binomial theorem, and integration of polynomials.

§40 An aside on the Dimensionality of Unity

40. But it is to be remarked that that Unity which is put for the Moment, is a Superficies, when the Question is about Solids; and a Line when about Superficies; and a Point when it is about Lines (as in this Example.) Neither am I afraid to speak of Unity in Points, or Lines infinitely small, since Geometers are wont now to consider Proportions even in such a Cafe, when they make use of the Methods of Indivisibles.

The discussion on the dimensionality of the Moment (as being one less than the dimensionality of the generated quantity), casts into doubt whether it is fitting, as Robert Pyke and others have done (Pyke, n.d.), of interpreting of Moments as infinitesimals. It is the *derivative* (or fluxion) not the infinitesimal line segment, that satisfies the property of being one dimension lower than the generated quantity.

§41 An aside on Solids and Centers of Gravity

41. From these Things one may guess how one ought to proceed in investigating the Superficies and Contents of Solids; and likewise the Centers of Gravity.

Regarding the contents, of solids, we remark that, if a two-dimensional x axis is needed to allow us to compare the area $\int y(x)dx$ with x in §37, would we seem to need a three-dimensional x -axis with area 1 to allow us to compare the volume of rotation of a curve against x . This seems fanciful, and we doubt whether Newton would take the requirement of 'comparing like with like' to that extreme.




Figure 9: If Newton needs to construct a two-dimensional x -axis in order to rigorously compare how the area changes as a function of x , then would Newton have needed to construct a three-dimensional x -axis when considering a volume of rotation?

§42 Inverting the power series for the Arc Sine by ‘extracting the root’

To find the Converse of these Things.

42. But if upon the contrary, from the Area, or Length, &c. of any Curve being given, the Length of the Base AB be required, then you must extract the Root x , out of the Equations which have been found by the preceding Rules.

If we know the arc length z as a function of x (Equation 21), Newton says he can find an expression for x as a function of z . Since $x = \sin(z)$, this is equivalent to an expansion of $\sin(z)$ in terms of z .





Figure 10: In a unit circle, the arc length z is also the angle z . Therefore, $x = \sin(z)$

In §43-44, the ‘series inversion method’ is introduced and a worked example is given. In §45, it will be applied to invert the arcsine.

§43-44 Inverting $z = \ln(1 + x)$

Newton first demonstrates his series inversion method by inverting $z = \ln(1 + x)$ to find a power series expansion for what we today would call $x = e^z - 1$:

43. Thus if from the Area ABDC of the Hyperbola ($\frac{1}{1+x} = y$) given I wanted to investigate the Base AB, calling the Area z , I extract the Root of this Equation $z(ABCD) = x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^4, \&c.$ neglecting those Terms in which x is of more Dimensions than z is desired in the Quotient.



It is no accident that he refers to x as a 'Quotient', since it appears to be the result of a process which closely resembles long-division, as we shall see.

So Newton starts with the power series:

$$\ln(1+x) \equiv z(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^4 + \frac{1}{5}x^5 + \dots \quad (22)$$

His aim is to find an expression like:

$$x(z) = \alpha z + \beta z^2 + \gamma z^3 + \delta z^4 + \varepsilon z^5 \dots \quad (23)$$

Newton is aware that if he wants to find coefficients of the inverse power series, up to z^5 all he needs to do is invert infinite series of $z(x)$ truncated after the term x^5 :

As if I would have z to rise to five Dimensions only in the Quotient, I neglect all the Terms $-\frac{1}{6}x^6 + \frac{1}{7}x^7 - \frac{1}{8}x^8, \&c.$ and extract the Root of this only $\frac{1}{5}x^5 - \frac{1}{4}x^4 + \frac{1}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + x - z = 0$.

So, the infinite series:

$$z(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^4 + \frac{1}{5}x^5 + \dots \quad (24)$$

and the finite series:

$$z_5(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^4 + \frac{1}{5}x^5 \quad (25)$$

... will have a different inverse in general, but their inverses will be identical for the terms up to z^5 . Therefore, Newton can focus on inverting just the finite series $z_5(x)$.

Newton next rewrites Equation 25 and puts all terms on the LHS:

$$-z + x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^4 + \frac{1}{5}x^5 = 0 \quad (26)$$

He then rewrites Equation 26, stacking powers vertically:

$$0 = \begin{cases} +\frac{1}{5}x^5 \\ -\frac{1}{4}x^4 \\ +\frac{1}{3}x^3 \\ -\frac{1}{2}x^2 \\ + x \\ - z \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

It is apparent from Equation 27 (or Equation 26) that x has to equal z to lowest order, otherwise the equality cannot hold. Thus we express x as $x = z + p$, where p is taken to be $O(z^2)$.

Now we make our substitution

$$0 = \begin{cases} +\frac{1}{5}(z+p)^5 \\ -\frac{1}{4}(z+p)^4 \\ +\frac{1}{3}(z+p)^3 \\ -\frac{1}{2}(z+p)^2 \\ + z + p \\ - z \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Then he expands Equation 28 selectively as follows:

$$0 = \begin{cases} +\frac{1}{5}z^5 + z^4p + \dots & 5 - 5 = 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4}z^4 - z^3p + \frac{3}{2}z^2p^2 + \dots & 5 - 4 = 1 \\ +\frac{1}{3}z^3 + z^2p + zp^2 + \frac{1}{3}zp^3 + \dots & 5 - 3 = 2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}z^2 - zp - \frac{1}{2}p^2 + 0 & 5 - 2 = 3 \\ +z^1 + p & \\ -z^1 & \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

We have kept the first ignored terms in grey, and point out that they are all of a higher order in z than z^5 . Newton uses the following rule to determine which terms to keep:

Rule; That after the first Term resulting from each Quantity that is collateral to it, I add no more Terms upon the right Hand than the Index of the Dimension of that first Term wants Units of the Index of the greatest Dimension. As in this Example, where the greatest Dimension is 5, I neglect all the Terms after z^5 , I put one after z^4 , and two only after z^3 . When the Root (x) to be extracted,

The *Units of the Index of the greatest dimension* is 5. The *Index of the Dimension of the First term* is 5 for the top row, and decreases by one for each row. Therefore $5 - 5 = 0$ and *no* additional terms are added after the z^5 term. The same logic leads us to conclude that one term is added after the z^4 term, and two after the z^3 term.

This means that terms like z^4p or z^2p^2 , which are $\sim O(z^6)$, will be dropped. These terms are irrelevant because we are only seeking to find the coefficients up to z^5 .

We are thus left with the following expression:

$$0 = \begin{cases} +\frac{1}{5}z^5 \\ -\frac{1}{4}z^4 - z^3p \\ +\frac{1}{3}z^3 + z^2p + zp^2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}z^2 - zp - \frac{1}{2}p^2 \\ +z + p \\ -z \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

Or, in Newton's original text:




Figure 11: (Newton & Stewart, 1745, p. 337)

Since we are looking at the lowest term in the expansion for p , we find that Equation 30 can only hold if:

$$p = \frac{1}{2}z^2 + O(z^3) \quad (31)$$

So we have now found our second order expansion of $x(z)$:

$$x = z + \frac{z^2}{2} + O(z^3) \quad (32)$$

Newton's table up until this point looks like this:

$z + p = x$	$ \begin{array}{r} + \frac{1}{5}x^5 \\ - \frac{1}{4}x^4 \\ + \frac{1}{3}x^3 \\ - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \\ + x \\ - z \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} + \frac{1}{5}z^5 \text{ & } \mathcal{O}c. \\ - \frac{1}{4}z^4 - z^3p \text{ & } \mathcal{O}c. \\ + \frac{1}{3}z^3 + z^2p + zp^2 \text{ & } \mathcal{O}c. \\ - \frac{1}{2}z^2 - zp - \frac{1}{2}p^2 \\ + z + p \\ - z \end{array} $
$\frac{1}{2}z^2 + q = p$		

Figure 12: The steps to find the first and second terms in the inversion of $\ln(1+x)$

Now Newton returns to Equation 30, and rewrites it in order of decreasing dimensions of p and then z :

$$0 = \begin{cases} zp^2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}p^2 \\ -z^3p \\ +z^2p \\ -zp \\ +p \\ +\frac{1}{5}z^5 \\ -\frac{1}{4}z^4 \\ +\frac{1}{3}z^3 \\ -\frac{1}{2}z^2 \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

In Newton's original text, this looks like this:

$x + p = x$	$ \begin{array}{r} + \frac{1}{5}x^5 \\ - \frac{1}{4}x^4 \\ + \frac{1}{3}x^3 \\ - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \\ + x \\ - x \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} + \frac{1}{5}z^5 \text{ \textcircled{c}} \\ - \frac{1}{4}z^4 - z^3p \text{ \textcircled{c}} \\ + \frac{1}{3}z^3 + z^2p + zp^2 \text{ \textcircled{c}} \\ - \frac{1}{2}z^2 - zp - \frac{1}{2}p^2 \\ + z + p \\ - z \end{array} $
$\frac{1}{2}z^2 + q = p$	$ \begin{array}{r} + zp^2 \\ - \frac{1}{2}p^2 \\ - z^3p \\ + z^2p \\ - zp \\ + p \\ + \frac{1}{5}z^5 \\ - \frac{1}{4}z^4 \\ + \frac{1}{3}z^3 \\ - \frac{1}{2}z^2 \end{array} $	

Now, he substitutes $p = \frac{1}{2}z^2 + q$, where q is assumed to be of the form $q = O(z^3) + \dots$. He uses the same rules to determine which terms to keep

$$0 = \begin{cases} +z(\frac{1}{2}z^2 + q)^2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}z^2 + q)^2 \\ -z^3(\frac{1}{2}z^2 + q) \\ +z^2(\frac{1}{2}z^2 + q) \\ -z(\frac{1}{2}z^2 + q) \\ +(\frac{1}{2}z^2 + q) \\ +\frac{1}{5}z^5 \\ -\frac{1}{4}z^4 \\ +\frac{1}{3}z^3 \\ -\frac{1}{2}z^2 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}z^5 + z^3q \\ -\frac{1}{8}z^4 - \frac{1}{2}z^2q \\ -\frac{1}{2}z^5 - z^3q \\ +\frac{1}{2}z^4 + z^2q \\ -\frac{1}{2}z^3 - qz \\ +\frac{1}{2}z^2 + q \\ +\frac{1}{5}z^5 \\ -\frac{1}{4}z^4 \\ +\frac{1}{3}z^3 \\ -\frac{1}{2}z^2 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} 5 - 5 = 0 \\ 5 - 4 = 1 \\ 5 - 5 = 0 \\ 5 - 4 = 1 \\ 5 - 3 = 2 \end{matrix} \quad (34)$$

Since q only enters linearly in Equation 34, we have a simple closed-form solution for q in terms of z :

$$x = z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + q \quad (35)$$

where q is found by solving for q in Equation 34:

$$q = \frac{\frac{1}{6}z^3 - \frac{1}{8}z^4 + \frac{1}{20}z^5}{1 - z + \frac{1}{2}z^2} \quad (36)$$

Thus we have worked out a closed-form solution for the inverse of the finite series (Equation 25). q can be found by polynomial long division, as Newton explains in §44.2:

2. When I see that p , q , or r , &c. in the last resulting Equation, is found of one Dimension only, I seek it's Value, that is to say the remaining Terms, which are still to be added to the Quotient, by means of Division; as you see done here.

Here Newton refers us to the bottom of his table (Figure 13) which contains the result of the long division:

$$1 - z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 \Big/ \frac{1}{6}z^3 - \frac{1}{8}z^4 + \frac{1}{20}z^5 \left(\frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 + \frac{1}{120}z^5 \right)$$

We have recreated the long division below:

$$\begin{array}{r} \textcircled{1} - z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 \Big/ \frac{1}{6}z^3 - \frac{1}{8}z^4 + \frac{1}{20}z^5 \setminus \frac{1}{6}z^3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Step 1: Dividing $\frac{1}{6}z^3$ by 1

$$\begin{array}{r} 1 - z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 \Big/ \frac{1}{6}z^3 - \frac{1}{8}z^4 + \frac{1}{20}z^5 \setminus \frac{1}{6}z^3 \\ \hline - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{6}z^4 - \frac{1}{12}z^5 \\ \hline 0 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 + \frac{1}{30}z^5 \end{array}$$

Step 2: Calculating the remainder

$$\begin{array}{r} \textcircled{1} - z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 \Big/ \frac{1}{6}z^3 - \frac{1}{8}z^4 + \frac{1}{20}z^5 \setminus \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 \\ \hline - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{6}z^4 - \frac{1}{12}z^5 \\ \hline 0 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 - \frac{1}{30}z^5 \end{array}$$

Step 3: Dividing $\frac{1}{24}z^4$ by 1

$$\begin{array}{r} 1 - z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 \Big/ \frac{1}{6}z^3 - \frac{1}{8}z^4 + \frac{1}{20}z^5 \setminus \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 \\ \hline - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{6}z^4 - \frac{1}{12}z^5 \\ \hline 0 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 - \frac{1}{30}z^5 \\ \hline - \frac{1}{24}z^4 + \frac{1}{24}z^5 \\ \hline 0 + \frac{1}{120}z^5 \end{array}$$

Step 4: Calculating the remainder

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \textcircled{1} z + \frac{1}{2} z^2 \quad / \quad \frac{1}{6} z^3 + \frac{-1}{8} z^4 + \frac{1}{20} z^5 \quad \backslash \quad \frac{1}{6} z^3 + \frac{1}{24} z^4 + \frac{1}{120} z^5 \\
 - \frac{1}{6} z^3 + \frac{1}{6} z^3 - \frac{1}{12} z^5 \\
 \hline
 0 \quad + \frac{1}{24} z^4 - \frac{1}{30} z^5 \\
 - \frac{1}{24} z^4 + \frac{1}{24} z^5 \\
 \hline
 0 \quad \textcircled{\frac{1}{120} z^5}
 \end{array}$$

Step 5: Dividing $\frac{1}{120} z^5$ by 1 to yield the final term

At this point we can stop, remembering that we sought the coefficients up to z^5 . We could, of course, continue the division beyond z^5 but these terms would be meaningless as the inverse of the infinite series $z(x)$ and the inverse of the finite series $z_5(x)$ cease to be identical after the z^5 term.

We have found q up to z^5 :

$$q = \frac{1}{6} z^3 + \frac{1}{24} z^4 + \frac{1}{120} z^5 + O(z^7) \quad (37)$$

We can plug this into our existing expansion for x :

$$x = z + \frac{1}{2} z^2 + q \quad (38)$$

Thus, the first 5 terms of the expansion of $e^z - 1$ is:

$$x = z + \frac{1}{2} z^2 + \frac{1}{6} z^3 + \frac{1}{24} z^4 + \frac{1}{120} z^5 + \dots \equiv e^z - 1 \quad (39)$$

If we wanted to find the next coefficient, we would have had to start with one more power of x in the series for z , namely:

$$z_6(x) = x - \frac{1}{2} x^2 + \frac{1}{3} x^3 - \frac{1}{4} x^4 + \frac{1}{5} x^5 - \frac{1}{6} x^6 \quad (40)$$

And use the same method.

Newton's completed table is shown below:

$x = z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 + \frac{1}{120}z^5 \text{ \Ô.}$		
$z + p = x$	$+$ $\frac{1}{2}z^2$ $-$ $\frac{1}{4}z^4$ $+$ $\frac{1}{3}z^3$ $-$ $\frac{1}{2}z^2$ $+$ z $-$ z	$+$ $\frac{1}{2}z^5 \text{ \Ô.}$ $-$ $\frac{1}{4}z^4 - z^3p \text{ \Ô.}$ $+$ $\frac{1}{3}z^3 + z^2p + zp^2 \text{ \Ô.}$ $-$ $\frac{1}{2}z^2 - zp - \frac{1}{2}p^2$ $+$ $z + p$ $-$ z
$\frac{1}{2}z^2 + q = p$	$+$ zp^2 $-$ $\frac{1}{2}p^2$ $-$ z^3p $+$ z^2p $-$ zp $+$ p $+$ $\frac{1}{2}z^5$ $-$ $\frac{1}{4}z^4$ $+$ $\frac{1}{3}z^3$ $-$ $\frac{1}{2}z^2$	$+$ $\frac{1}{4}z^5 \text{ \Ô.}$ $-$ $\frac{1}{8}z^4 - \frac{1}{2}z^2q$ $-$ $\frac{1}{2}z^5 \text{ \Ô.}$ $+$ $\frac{1}{4}z^4 + z^2q$ $-$ $\frac{1}{2}z^3 - zq$ $+$ $\frac{1}{2}z^2 + q$ $+$ $\frac{1}{2}z^5$ $-$ $\frac{1}{4}z^4$ $+$ $\frac{1}{3}z^3$ $-$ $\frac{1}{2}z^2$
$1 - z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 - \frac{1}{120}z^5 (\frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 + \frac{1}{120}z^5$		

Figure 13: Series inversion algorithm for $z = \ln(1 + x)$. The answer is at the top. The second and third rows show the results of plugging in the first-order and second-order result and working out the remainder. The last row shows us how the z^3 , z^4 , and z^5 terms are calculated by long division.

This method is closely related to the modern method of undetermined coefficients (see Appendix), except the latter makes it more explicit that we are assuming an equation of the form $\sum_i C_i x^i$, whereas Newton's method leaves that implicit. We believe that the parallel with long division with decimal numbers would have made the explicit assumption of an equation of a certain form to be pedantic. After all, when dividing two decimal numbers, we do not start by *assuming* an answer of a certain form (with a decimal point and infinite digits after the decimal). We simply work it out. Similarly, Newton just works out the series without worrying about stating its form to begin with.

§45 Inverting $z = \sin^{-1}(x)$ to find the sine.

Newton states that we can apply his series inversion method (worked example in §43-44) to his expression of the arcsine (Equation 41) to get a power series expression of the sine:

45. If from the Arch αD given the Sine AB was required; I extract the Root of the Equation found above, *viz.* $z = x + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{5}{112}x^5 + \frac{35}{1152}x^7$ (it being supposed that $AB = x$, $\alpha D = z$, and $A\alpha = 1$) by which I find $x = z - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 + \frac{1}{30240}z^9 \&c.$

It may seem a little strange that Newton does not feel the need to explicitly give the steps needed to invert the arcsine expansion (Equation 41):

$$\sin^{-1}(x) \equiv z(x) = x + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{3}{40}x^5 + \frac{5}{112}x^7 + \frac{35}{1152}x^9 + \dots \quad (41)$$

We will apply the method that he details in §43-44 to check that you indeed get the answer Newton states in §45. Equation 41 differs from the expansion of Equation 22 in that it contains only odd powers, and only positive coefficients. The coefficients themselves are more complicated, however. This time we want a solution up to the power of z^9 , so we must consider the truncated series:

$$z = x + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{3}{40}x^5 + \frac{5}{112}x^7 + \frac{35}{1152}x^9 \quad (42)$$

As before, the first order inverse is $x = z$, as Equation 42 would not hold if x was not z to lowest order. We find the next order by substituting $x = z + p$ into Equation 42. We rearrange it first into decreasing powers of x

$$0 = \begin{cases} +\frac{35}{1152}x^9 \\ +\frac{5}{112}x^7 \\ +\frac{3}{40}x^5 \\ +\frac{1}{6}x^3 \\ +x \\ -z \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

Now we substitute the expansion $x = z + p$

$$0 = \begin{cases} +\frac{35}{1152}(z+p)^9 \\ +\frac{5}{112}(z+p)^7 \\ +\frac{3}{40}(z+p)^5 \\ +\frac{1}{6}(z+p)^3 \\ +x \\ -z \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

Again, we don't need to expand all the terms, only the ones that will be of a lower order than x^9 , which we have done below.

$$0 = \begin{cases} +\frac{35}{1152}(z^9 + 9z^8p) + \dots & \frac{9-9}{2} = 0 \\ +\frac{5}{112}(z^7 + 7z^6p + 28z^5p^2) + \dots & \frac{9-7}{2} = 1 \\ +\frac{3}{40}(z^5 + 5z^4p + 10z^3p^2 + 10z^2p^3) + \dots & \frac{9-5}{2} = 2 \\ +\frac{1}{6}(z^3 + 3z^2p + 3zp^2 + p^3) + \dots & \frac{9-3}{2} = 3 \\ +z + p \\ -z \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

Again, we have added the next (ignored) term in grey.

Newton explains how we must modify his rule about which terms to keep for the case of an even or odd power series such as this one:

after z^4 , and two only after z^3 . When the Root (x) to be extracted, is every where of even or odd Dimensions, let this be the Rule: That after the first Term, resulting from each Quantity which is collateral to it, you add no more Terms towards the Right Hand, than what the Index of the Dimension of that first Term, wants Pairs of Units of the Index of the highest Dimension; or no more than what it wants Ternaries of Units, when the Indexes of the Dimensions of x differ by three Units; and so in others.

The *Index of the Highest Dimension* is 9. The *Index of the dimension of the first term* is 9 for the first row and drops by 2 in each row. “wants Pairs of Units” means we have to divide the difference by two to get the number of additional units on the right hand side.

To summarize, ignoring orders higher than $O(z^9)$ allows us to consider the (much simplified) Equation 46 rather than the full Equation 44

$$0 = \begin{cases} +\frac{35}{1152}z^9 + \dots \\ +\frac{5}{112}(z^7 + 7z^6p) + \dots \\ +\frac{3}{40}(z^5 + 5z^4p + 10z^3p^2) + \dots \\ +\frac{1}{6}(z^3 + 3z^2p + 3zp^2 + p^3) \\ +z + p \\ -z \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

It is clear that Equation 46 can only hold if:

$$p = -\frac{1}{6}z^3 + O(z^5) \quad (47)$$

For something must cancel the $\frac{1}{6}z^3$ in Equation 46. We have thus found the third-order approximation:

$$x = z - \frac{1}{6}z^3 \quad (48)$$

We now rewrite Equation 45 in terms of decreasing powers of p and z , just like we did before (Equation 33) when calculating the inverse of $\ln(1+x)$ in §43.

$$0 = \begin{cases} +\frac{1}{6}p^3 \\ +\frac{3}{4}z^3p^2 \\ +\frac{1}{2}zp^2 \\ +\frac{35}{112}z^6p \\ +\frac{15}{40}z^4p \\ +\frac{1}{2}z^2p \\ p \\ +\frac{35}{1152}z^9 \\ +\frac{5}{112}z^7 \\ +\frac{3}{40}z^5 \\ +\frac{1}{6}z^3 \\ \cancel{z} \\ \cancel{z} \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

We now use the substitution $p = -\frac{1}{6}z^3 + q$ into Equation 49 where we assume that the leading coefficient of q is $O(z^5)$. Again, we use Newton's rule to discard terms of higher order than z^9 :

$$0 = \begin{cases} +\frac{1}{6}\left(-\frac{1}{6^3}z^9\right) + \dots & \frac{9-9}{2} = 0 \\ +\frac{3}{4}z^3\left(\frac{1}{36}z^6\right) + \dots & \frac{9-9}{2} = 0 \\ +\frac{1}{2}z\left(\frac{1}{36}z^6 \boxed{-\frac{1}{3}qz^3} + \dots\right) & \frac{9-7}{2} = \boxed{1} \\ +\frac{35}{112}z^6\left(-\frac{1}{6}z^3\right) + \dots & \frac{9-9}{2} = 0 \\ +\frac{15}{40}z^4\left(-\frac{1}{6}z^3 + \boxed{q}\right) & \frac{9-7}{2} = \boxed{1} \\ +\frac{1}{2}z^2\left(-\frac{1}{6}z^3 + q\right) & \frac{9-5}{2} = 2 \\ -\frac{1}{6}z^3 + q \\ +\frac{35}{1152}z^9 \\ +\frac{5}{112}z^7 \\ +\frac{3}{40}z^5 \\ +\frac{1}{6}z^3 \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

Expanding the brackets:

$$0 = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{1296}z^9 + \dots \\ +\frac{1}{48}z^9 + \dots \\ +\frac{1}{72}z^7 - \frac{1}{6}qz^4 + \dots \\ -\frac{35}{672}z^9 + \dots \\ -\frac{15}{240}z^7 + \frac{15}{40}qz^4 + \dots \\ -\frac{1}{12}z^5 + \frac{1}{2}z^2q \\ -\frac{1}{6}z^3 + q \\ +\frac{35}{1152}z^9 \\ +\frac{5}{112}z^7 \\ +\frac{3}{40}z^5 \\ +\frac{1}{6}z^3 \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

Adding like terms:

$$0 = \begin{cases} \left(-\frac{1}{1296} + \frac{1}{48} - \frac{35}{672} + \frac{35}{1152}\right)z^9 \\ \left(+\frac{1}{72} - \frac{15}{240} + \frac{5}{112}\right)z^7 \\ \left(+\frac{15}{40} - \frac{1}{6}\right)qz^4 \\ \left(-\frac{1}{12} + \frac{3}{40}\right)z^5 \\ +\frac{1}{2}z^2q \\ -\frac{1}{6}z^3 + q \\ +\frac{1}{6}z^3 \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

At this point we find that our equation is linear in q , which means we can solve it using polynomial division:

$$0 = \begin{cases} -\frac{17}{10368}z^9 \\ -\frac{1}{252}z^7 \\ +\frac{5}{24}qz^4 \\ -\frac{1}{120}z^5 \\ +\frac{1}{2}z^2q \\ q \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

$$\frac{1}{120}z^5 + \frac{1}{252}z^7 + \frac{17}{10368}z^9 = q\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{5}{24}z^4\right) \quad (54)$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{120}z^5 + \frac{1}{252}z^7 + \frac{17}{10368}z^9}{1 + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{5}{24}z^4} = q \quad (55)$$

We can calculate the remaining terms by straightforward polynomial division:

$$1 + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{5}{24}z^4 \Big/ \frac{1}{120}z^5 + \frac{1}{252}z^7 + \frac{17}{10368}z^9 \Big/ \frac{1}{120}z^5 +$$

Step 1: Dividing $\frac{1}{120}z^5$ by 1

$$1 + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{5}{24}z^4 \Big/ \frac{1}{120}z^5 + \frac{1}{252}z^7 + \frac{17}{10368}z^9 \Big/ \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{120}z^5 +$$

$$- \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{240}z^7 - \frac{5}{2880}z^9 +$$

$$0 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 - \frac{1}{10368}z^9$$

Step 2: Calculating the remainder

$$1 + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{5}{24}z^4 \Big/ \frac{1}{120}z^5 + \frac{1}{252}z^7 + \frac{17}{10368}z^9 \Big/ \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 +$$

$$- \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{240}z^7 - \frac{5}{2880}z^9 +$$

$$0 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 - \frac{1}{10368}z^9$$

Step 3: Dividing $-\frac{1}{5040}z^7$ by 1

$$1 + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{5}{24}z^4 \Big/ \frac{1}{120}z^5 + \frac{1}{252}z^7 + \frac{17}{10368}z^9 \Big/ \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 +$$

$$- \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{240}z^7 - \frac{5}{2880}z^9 +$$

$$0 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 - \frac{1}{10368}z^9$$

$$+ \frac{1}{5040}z^7 + \frac{1}{10080}z^9$$

$$0 \frac{1}{362880}z^9$$

Step 4: Calculating the remainder

$$1 + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{5}{24}z^4 \Big/ \frac{1}{120}z^5 + \frac{1}{252}z^7 + \frac{17}{10368}z^9 \Big/ \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 + \frac{1}{362880}z^9 +$$

$$- \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{240}z^7 - \frac{5}{2880}z^9 +$$

$$0 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 - \frac{1}{10368}z^9$$

$$+ \frac{1}{5040}z^7 + \frac{1}{10080}z^9$$

$$0 \frac{1}{362880}z^9$$

Step 5: Dividing $\frac{1}{362880}z^9$ by 1

By long division:

$$q = \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 + \frac{1}{362880}z^9 + O(z^{11}) \quad (56)$$

And therefore

$$x = z - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + q$$

$$= z - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 + \frac{1}{362880}z^9 + O(z^{11}) \quad (57)$$

We recognize this as the first few terms of the series expansion of the sine:

$$x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} z^{2n+1} \quad (58)$$

We must point out that whereas we can continue the long division as long as we like, the resulting terms will have *nothing* to do with the sine. Instead they will be the continuation of the infinite series expansion of the inverse of the *truncated* expansion of the arcsine (Equation 42). To calculate the z^{13} we would have to start with the expansion of the arcsine $z(x)$ up to order x^{13} and perform the same procedure.

Newton does not give us a table for his inversion of $\sin^{-1}(x)$ as he did for $\ln(1+x)$. But if he had, we can be fairly confident it would have looked something like this (viz. Figure 13):

$x = z - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 + \frac{1}{362880}z^9 + O(z^{11})$		
$x = z + p$	$ \begin{aligned} &+ \frac{35}{1152}x^9 \\ &+ \frac{5}{112}x^7 \\ &+ \frac{3}{40}x^5 \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}x^3 \\ &+ x \\ &- z \end{aligned} $	$ \begin{aligned} &+ \frac{35}{1152}z^9 \\ &+ \frac{5}{112}(z^7 + 7z^6p) \\ &+ \frac{3}{40}(z^5 + 5z^4p + 10z^3p^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}(z^3 + 3z^2p + 3zp^2 + p^3) \\ &+ z + p \\ &- z \end{aligned} $
$p = -\frac{1}{6}z^3 + q$	$ \begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{6}p^3 \\ &+ \frac{3}{4}z^3p^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}zp^2 \\ &+ \frac{35}{112}z^6p \\ &+ \frac{15}{40}z^4p \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}z^2p \\ &p \\ &+ \frac{35}{1152}z^9 \\ &+ \frac{5}{112}z^7 \\ &+ \frac{3}{40}z^5 \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}z^3 \\ &+ z \\ &- z \end{aligned} $	$ \begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{6}\left(-\frac{1}{6^3}z^9\right) + \dots \\ &+ \frac{3}{4}z^3\left(\frac{1}{36}z^6\right) + \dots \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}z\left(\frac{1}{36}z^6 - \frac{1}{3}qz^3 + \dots\right) \\ &+ \frac{35}{112}z^6\left(-\frac{1}{6}z^3\right) + \dots \\ &+ \frac{15}{40}z^4\left(-\frac{1}{6}z^3 + q\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}z^2\left(-\frac{1}{6}z^3 + q\right) \\ &-\frac{1}{6}z^3 + q \\ &+ \frac{35}{1152}z^9 \\ &+ \frac{5}{112}z^7 \\ &+ \frac{3}{40}z^5 \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}z^3 \\ &+ z \end{aligned} $
$ 1 + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{5}{24}z^4 \quad \bigg/ \quad \frac{1}{120}z^5 + \frac{1}{252}z^7 + \frac{17}{10368}z^9 \quad \bigg/ \quad \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7 + \frac{1}{362880}z^9 $		

Figure 14: Reconstructed series inversion table for the arcsine. Compare with Figure 13.

§46: Finding the power series expansion of the cosine

46. And moreover if the Cosine $A\beta$ were required from that Arch given, make $A\beta (= \sqrt{1 - xx}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 - \frac{1}{720}z^6 + \frac{1}{40320}z^8 - \frac{1}{362880}z^{10}, \&c.$

Finally, from the power series for the sine, Newton derives the power series for the cosine. He does this making use of the identity

$$\cos(z) = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2(z)}, \quad (59)$$

which follows from the fact that in Figure 15, $BD = \cos(z)$. We can then apply Pythagoras' theorem as follows:

$$BD = \sqrt{CD^2 - BC^2} = \sqrt{1 - x^2} \quad (60)$$

Substituting $x = \sin(z)$ gives:

$$BD = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2(z)} \quad (61)$$




Figure 15: Repetition of Figure 11. From this figure it becomes apparent that the length $BD = \cos(z)$.

In order to find a power series for the cosine, we can therefore take the power series for $\sqrt{1 - x^2}$, and substitute for each x in this series the power series for $\sin(z)$. Therefore, our next step is to express $\sqrt{1 - x^2}$ as a power series, which we do with the binomial theorem:

$$(1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{8}x^4 - \frac{1}{16}x^6 + \dots \quad (62)$$

Considering only the first three terms will suffice for our present purposes. The next step will be to plug our power series for the sine into each x in Equation 62. This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(z) = & 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(z - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \dots \right)^2 - \frac{1}{8} \left(z - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \dots \right)^4 \\ & - \frac{1}{16} \left(z - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \dots \right)^6 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Now all we need to do is order the z terms. The first and second terms, in z^0 and z^2 , are easily found since they both appear only once. Therefore we have:

$$\cos(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \dots \quad (64)$$

The next term will be on the order of z^4 , so we need to collect all z^4 terms in the expansion. This gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\cos(z) &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}z^2 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot -\frac{1}{6}z^3 \cdot z - \frac{1}{2} \cdot z \cdot -\frac{1}{6}z^3 - \frac{1}{8}z^4 + \dots \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 + \dots\end{aligned}\tag{65}$$

Next, we collect all the z^6 terms, which gives us this:

$$\begin{aligned}\cos(z) &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 - 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}z \cdot \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot -\frac{1}{6}z^3 \cdot -\frac{1}{6}z^3 - 4 \cdot \frac{1}{8}z \cdot z \cdot z \cdot -\frac{1}{6}z^3 - \frac{1}{16}z^6 \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 - \frac{1}{720}z^6 + \dots\end{aligned}\tag{66}$$

Newton continues this procedure for two more terms, thus finding the coefficients for the z^8 and z^{10} terms as well.

§47: Recognising the factorial pattern

The following section makes it clear that Newton saw the factorial pattern of the sine and cosine series, which today we would write as:

$$\sin(x) = x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \frac{x^7}{7!} + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}\tag{67}$$

$$\cos(x) = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}\tag{68}$$

Newton did not use these symbols as the sigma summation notation (\sum) was introduced by Euler in 1755 (Campbell, n.d.), and the factorial was introduced in 1808 by Christian Kramp (O'Connor & Robertson, 1997).

Concerning the Continuation of the Series of the Progressions.

47. Let it be observed here, by the bye, that when 5 or 6 Terms of those Roots are known, they may be continued at Pleasure for most Part, by observing the Analogy of the Progression.

Thus you may continue this $x = z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 + \frac{1}{120}z^5$, &c. by dividing the last Term by the following Numbers in Order, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, &c.

And this $x = z - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{120}z^5 - \frac{1}{5040}z^7$, &c. by dividing by these Numbers 2×3 , 4×5 , 6×7 , 8×9 , 10×11 , &c.

And

of the infinite series of terms.

And this $x = 1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}x^4 - \frac{1}{7 \cdot 2}x^6, \&c.$ by these $1 \times 2, 3 \times 4, 5 \times 6, 7 \times 8, 9 \times 10, \&c.$

And this $z = x + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{40}x^5 + \frac{1}{112}x^7, \&c.$ by multiplying by these, *viz.* $\frac{1 \times 1}{2 \times 3}, \frac{3 \times 3}{4 \times 5}, \frac{5 \times 5}{6 \times 7}, \frac{7 \times 7}{8 \times 9}, \&c.$ And so in others.

Concluding Remarks

We have seen that Newton used elementary geometry, the binomial theorem, and integration to derive the series for the sine. Notably, Newton arrives at these results without Taylor series. His recognition of the factorial pattern in §47 suggests he understood the deeper structure of the result, even without the notation to express it cleanly.

Two open questions remain. First, why does Newton uses “Moment” when “Fluxion” would do just as well (§37 and §38)? Can Moments and Fluxions be identical for Newton? Second, how would Newton’s x -axis adapt to a Volume of rotation, given that it becomes two-dimensional when treating an area under a curve.

Appendix: The ‘method of undetermined coefficients’

Newton’s method is computationally equivalent to the more modern “method of undetermined coefficients”, which is detailed below. We start with the $z(x)$ expression we had before:

$$z(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^4 + \frac{1}{5}x^5.. \quad (69)$$

This time we explicitly assume that we can write x as a series expansion in terms of z :

$$x(z) = \alpha z + \beta z^2 + \gamma z^3 + \delta z^4 + \varepsilon z^5 \quad (70)$$

And we search for the coefficients term-by-term by repeatedly substituting Equation 70 into Equation 69. Our single equation turns into 5 equations in 5 variables, one equation for each power of z :

$$\begin{aligned} z(x) &= (\alpha z + \beta z^2 + \gamma z^3 + \delta z^4 + \varepsilon z^5) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha z + \beta z^2 + \gamma z^3 + \delta z^4 + \varepsilon z^5)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta z^2 + \gamma z^3 + \delta z^4 + \varepsilon z^5)^3 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha z + \beta z^2 + \gamma z^3 + \delta z^4 + \varepsilon z^5)^4 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{5}(\alpha z + \beta z^2 + \gamma z^3 + \delta z^4 + \varepsilon z^5)^5 \\ &\quad .. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

The powers of z^1 on LHS and RHS must be equal:

$$\alpha = 1 \quad (72)$$

The powers of z^2 on LHS and RHS must equal:

$$0 = \beta + -\frac{1}{2}z^2$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2}$$
(73)

The same goes for the powers of z^3 :

$$0 = \gamma + -\frac{1}{2}(2\beta\alpha) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\alpha^3$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{6}$$
(74)

The same goes for the powers of z^4 :

$$0 = \delta + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)(\beta^2 + 2\alpha\gamma) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)(3\alpha^2\beta) - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\alpha^4$$

$$0 = \delta + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + 2(1)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(3(1)^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)(1)^4$$

$$0 = \delta + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$$

$$0 = \delta + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{12} + \frac{4}{12}\right) + \frac{12}{24} - \frac{6}{24}$$

$$0 = \delta + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{7}{12}\right) + \frac{12}{24} - \frac{6}{24}$$

$$0 = \delta - \frac{7}{24} + \frac{12}{24} - \frac{6}{24}$$

$$0 = \delta - \frac{1}{24}$$

$$\delta = \frac{1}{24}$$
(75)

And finally, for the powers of z^5 :

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha\delta + 2\beta\gamma) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)(3\alpha^2\gamma + 3\alpha\beta^2) - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)(4\alpha^3\beta) + \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\alpha^5 \\
0 &= \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\left(2(1)\left(\frac{1}{24}\right) + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(3\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) + 3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right) - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) + \left(\frac{1}{5}\right) \\
0 &= \varepsilon + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{6}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)(2) + \frac{1}{5} \\
0 &= \varepsilon + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{12}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{2}{4} + \frac{3}{4}\right) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} \\
0 &= \varepsilon + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{12}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{5}{4}\right) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} \\
0 &= \varepsilon - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{5}{12} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} \\
0 &= \varepsilon - \frac{15}{120} + \frac{50}{120} - \frac{60}{120} + \frac{24}{120} \\
0 &= \varepsilon - \frac{1}{120} \\
\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{120}
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Putting it all together:

$$x = z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 + \frac{1}{120}z^5 + \dots \tag{77}$$

Bibliography

- Bradley, R. E., Petrilli, S. J., & Sandifer, C. E. (2015). Selected Letters from the Correspondence Between the Marquis de L'Hôpital and Johann Bernoulli. In *L'Hôpital's Analyse des infiniments petits: An Annotated Translation with Source Material by Johann Bernoulli* (pp. 233–293). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17115-9_12
- Campbell, R. B. (n.d.). *Summation notation*. Retrieved <https://math.uni.edu/~campbell/mdm/Sigma.html>
- Dunham, W. (1991). *Journey through Genius: The Great Theorems of Mathematics*. Penguin Books.
- Newton, I. (1676, September). *Copy of letter from Newton to Henry Oldenburg, dated 13 June 1676 (Normalized) [Epistola Prior]* (M. Hawkins & R. Iliffe, Eds). <https://www.newtonproject.ox.ac.uk/view/texts/normalized/NATP00198>
- Newton, I. (1745a). *Sir Isaac Newton's Two Treatises Of the Quadrature of Curves, and Analysis by Equations of an infinite Number of Terms* (Of Analysis by Equations of an Infinite Number of Terms; p. 321). John Colson. https://books.google.it/books?id=noQ_AAAAcAAJ
- Newton, I. (1745b). *Sir Isaac Newton's Two Treatises Of the Quadrature of Curves, and Analysis by Equations of an infinite Number of Terms* (Sir Isaac Newton's Treatise of the Quadrature of Curves; p. 1). John Colson. https://books.google.it/books?id=noQ_AAAAcAAJ
- Newton, I., & Stewart, J. (1745). *Sir Isaac Newton's Two Treatises of the Quadrature of Curves, and Analysis by Equations of an Infinite Number of Terms Explained*. Printed by James Bettenham for J. Nourse. https://books.google.it/books?id=noQ_AAAAcAAJ

O'Connor, J. J., & Robertson, E. F. (1997, December). *Christian Kramp biography*. <https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/Biographies/Kramp/>

Pyke, R. (n.d.). *Fluents and Fluxions*. Retrieved <https://www.sfu.ca/~rpyke/fluxions.pdf>